

# CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF GENERAL HIGHER EDUCATION IN KARNATAKA

**\*Pandhari Prasanna, \*\*Nagoor B H**

*\*Assistant Professor, GFGC Rajnagar, Hubli*

*\*\*Associate Professor, Department of Studies in Economics, Karnataka University, Dharwad*

## ABSTRACT

*The higher education landscape in Karnataka is at a critical juncture, reflecting a broader trend toward knowledge-driven economies and the need for educational reforms. This study examines the current state and challenges of General Higher Education (GHE) in Karnataka, which encompasses the predominant streams of Arts, Science, and Commerce and accounts for nearly 80% of undergraduate enrollments. Managed by various state and federal bodies, including the Karnataka Knowledge Commission and the University Grants Commission, the GHE faces pressures from rising demand for professional education and a need to enhance the job relevance of traditional courses. Key issues explored in this analysis include funding challenges, particularly the grant-in-aid policies for private colleges, equity in access to education across demographic groups, and the efficiency of different college types (government, private aided, and unaided). The study also evaluates enrollment patterns, performance metrics, and the evolving needs for sustainable financing models amidst fiscal constraints. This analysis provides a foundation for rethinking policies that align GHE with industry demands and socio-economic goals.*

JEL Classification: I23, I28, H52

**Keywords:** *Higher Education in Karnataka; General Higher Education (GHE); Educational Policy and Reform; Funding and Grant-in-Aid; Public vs. Private Colleges; Equitable Access to Education*

## INTRODUCTION

Higher education system in Karnataka, like in many parts of the world, is poised for a systemic overhaul, thanks to the emergence of the concept of knowledge led economies. The state is making concerted efforts to refurbish its higher education, and this is quite visible in its acts of setting up of the Knowledge Commission (Karnataka Jnana Ayoga), State Higher Education Council, bringing up amendment to the Universities Act to renovate two high reputation universities of the state, introducing the semester scheme so as to improve teaching and learning, etc. It is for this very reason that there is a need to pause and take stock of the important issues that need to be addressed with regard to the higher education in the state.

The formal higher education in the state has the typical Indian education setting – with similar characteristics: the highest chunk of its potential higher education population is into the general education stream, followed by the technical, professional and vocational streams. Nearly 80

percent of the total enrolments in the higher education belong to the General Higher Education (GHE), which mainly consist of the Arts, Science and Commerce streams. And therefore our focus in this paper would be on the formal GHE and covers only the undergraduate courses, namely B.A, B.Com and B.Sc. In the context of the Karnataka state, the department of Collegiate Education has the administrative control over the GHE and therefore the term collegiate education is more popular and is used interchangeably with the GHE.

## **NATURE, CHARACTERISTICS AND PECULIARITIES OF THE GHE**

The degree colleges of the state are governed by four institutions: first, the state government, which establishes (in case of Government colleges only), provides funds and is responsible for administrative control; second the university, under which the college is affiliated to and which is responsible for setting the syllabus and conducting the exams; third, the UGC, which fixes the standards of teaching and learning; and the management,(only in case of private colleges), which is responsible for the establishment of the colleges. In addition to the fixation of standards, the UGC also grants financial resources for the colleges, provided they should meet the requirements of UGC as mandated by the sections 2F and 12B of the UGC act 1956. The former section 2F is for recognition of the college by the UGC and the latter section, is for getting financial assistance. Therefore it follows that, UGC provides funds only to those affiliated colleges which meet the conditions of both 2f and 12B sections.

## **ISSUES IN THE GENERAL HIGHER EDUCATION IN KARNATAKA**

a) The general higher education in the state (and in India) is at crossroads. On one hand there is an increased emphasis on the professional education from the demand side (students and parents) which of course is propelled by knowledge economy and increased specialization in the operations of the new industries, and on the other hand we have the same concept of GHE characterized by the so called 'traditional' courses – B.A, B. Com and B. Sc. Isn't there a need to modernize these courses so as to suit the needs of the industries on one side and making them more job-oriented on the other? How relevant are these courses to the changing chimes of modern times?

b) Another issue is that of the criteria of granting aids to the private colleges. Grants given to colleges are meted of the scarce public resources, got out of the tax payers' income. And therefore it has to be ensured that the resources are utilized properly. This requires monitoring of the performance of the PACs and linking the criteria of providing grants-in-aid to the performance. But as of now there is no criteria on which the government is shelling out the rupees to these colleges. It is in this context; further investigation is needed into this issue.

c) Most of the states including Karnataka have followed the policy of granting aids to private colleges, but the basic rationale of providing grants is still unclear. In the recent past some states (like Karnataka) have put temporary ban on bringing new colleges under the grants in aid policy, while some others (Madhya Pradesh, for instance) have chosen to stop the grants-in-aid and in turn have gone with the option of establishment of government colleges. Quite intuitively, the question arises as to whether the government should bring in the new colleges into GIA or establish GCs more; and is there actually a trade-off between them? Such an issue has great relevance because it can lead us to several policy options like: a) Extending GIA to the uncovered colleges may be favored because it means a lesser burden on the public finances of the state. And if the performance of the PACs is better than or at least equal to that of GCs, then GIA for new colleges can be favored for the sake of efficiency; b) Contrarily, establishment of GCs comes in the backdrop of equity considerations. It is understood that most students from weaker sections and rural areas require public support and they do join government colleges.

d) The above discussion gives rise to two more issues: first, what has been the efficiency level in each of these types of colleges? Second, which are more equitable?

e) Yet another issue is that of instruments of financing, given that the state has increasingly reduced its support and may tend to do so for the known reasons of fiscal squeeze; the colleges too have been unable to raise their own revenues; so what would be the viable models of funding?

f) The issue of performance: which types of colleges perform better even if the cost structure of the colleges is high? Because people prefer to have quality education even if the costs are high. There are mixed perceptions on this front. There is a general perception that Private Unaided Colleges (PUACs) do significantly better than Private Aided Colleges (PACs) and PACs do better than Government Colleges (GCs). But on the other hand the phenomena has also been observed and reported that the performance of PUACs is worse than the both of their counterparts. This requires some further investigation because the GoK report (2002) evidences that the performance of PACs and GCs are better than PUACs.

## **TRENDS AND PATTERN OF ENROLMENT IN THE GHE**

Analysis of Enrolment Trends in Government and Aided Colleges (2001-2010): The enrolment data from 2001-02 to 2009-10 (Table:1) highlights the differential growth trends in Government and Aided Colleges, reflecting both the gender distribution and type of management in higher education institutions.

### Government Colleges

The enrolment in Government Colleges demonstrates a steady and substantial increase over the study period. Starting with a combined enrolment of 58,399 in 2001-02, the numbers rose to 151,896 by 2009-10, reflecting a strong Average Annual Growth Rate (AAGR) of 12.04%. The data indicates a significant growth in female enrolment, with an AAGR of 13.50%, which exceeds the male enrolment growth rate of 10.76%. This trend underscores a positive shift toward gender parity, as evidenced by the narrowing gap between male and female students from 2001-02 (15.6% difference) to near equality in 2009-10. The average enrolment over the period for Government Colleges indicates a nearly balanced gender distribution, with males averaging 51,558.44 (51.27%) and females at 49,095.77 (48.8%). These figures highlight a progressive trend in gender representation, which may suggest effective government policies and outreach programs aimed at increasing access to higher education for women.

### Aided Colleges

Contrasting with Government Colleges, Aided Colleges experienced relatively modest growth in enrolment figures. The total enrolment rose from 154,798 in 2001-02 to 206,079 in 2009-10, yielding a combined AAGR of 1.38%, substantially lower than that of Government Colleges. Male and female AAGRs, at 1.72% and 1.03% respectively, reflect slower enrolment growth, which could be attributed to factors such as limited expansion of aided institutions or a potential preference shift toward government-managed institutions.

Despite lower growth rates, Aided Colleges maintained a balanced gender ratio across the period, with male students averaging 107,542 (51.3%) and female students at 102,264.2 (48.7%). The nearly equal gender distribution indicates a stable enrolment pattern and suggests that Aided Colleges continue to serve as significant contributors to equitable access to higher education.

Yr	Gov Colleges			Aided colleges		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
2001-02	33922	24477	58399	79988	74810	154798
2002-03	35524	28820	64344	109969	102389	212358
2003-04	39045	38234	77279	118861	114898	233759
2004-05	41551	41684	83235	103733	122883	226616
2005-06	52363	47052	99415	118581	105802	224383
2006-07	52443	67045	119488	110066	99605	209671
2007-08	64005	55291	119296	110724	99293	210017
2008-09	68369	64167	132536	111011	99564	210575
2009-10	76804	75092	151896	104945	101134	206079

<b>AAGR<sup>#</sup></b>	<b>10.76</b>	<b>13.50</b>	<b>12.04</b>	<b>1.72</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>1.38</b>
Average	51558.44 (51.27)	49095.77 (48.8)	100654	107542 (51.3)	102264.2 (48.7)	209806
Note: figures in the parentheses are percentages to respective totals.						
# AAGR refers to Average Annual Growth Rate.						
Source: Annual Report, Higher Education Department, GoK, 2009-10						

## COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND OBSERVATIONS

Comparatively, the higher AAGR in Government Colleges suggests a substantial expansion in public sector higher education, possibly supported by increased government funding, infrastructural development, and policy initiatives aimed at improving access to education for underrepresented groups. This contrasts with the moderate growth in Aided Colleges, which may reflect different funding mechanisms and operational constraints within aided institutions.

The gender distribution across both types of colleges shows a nearly balanced ratio, with females comprising approximately 48.8% in Government Colleges and 48.7% in Aided Colleges, indicative of equitable access across institutional types. The accelerated growth in female enrolment in Government Colleges, however, may point toward effective initiatives specifically targeted at reducing gender disparities in educational attainment.

## IMPLICATIONS FOR POLICY AND PRACTICE

The findings suggest a strong positive impact of government-led initiatives on enrolment rates, particularly for female students. Policymakers might leverage this data to enhance support for Aided Colleges, potentially through funding or incentive-based programs that encourage expansion and modernization, thereby bridging the growth disparity with Government Colleges. Additionally, the success in achieving near gender parity across institutions serves as a model for future policies aimed at inclusive education in higher education.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics: Enrolment in Govt colleges in Karnataka

	2000-01			2008-09		
	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total
Mean	1187.4	893.0	2080.4	2528.1	2376.6	4908.7
Std. Dev	963.4	880.6	1674.3	1860.9	2059.7	3716.0
C.V	81.1	98.6	80.5	73.6	86.7	75.7
CAGR	100.41	153.63	123.45			

Source: Author's Calculation

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics: Enrolment in Private Aided colleges

	2000-01			2008-09		
	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total
Mean	3022.14	2897.63	5919.78	3887.33	3743.92	7616.63
Std. Dev	2764.85	3719.57	6370.96	3434.25	4401.37	7718.60
C.V	91.48	128.364	107.621	88.34	117.56	101.33
CAGR	16.13	16.71	16.16			

Source: Author's Calculation

Enrolment in government colleges has experienced a remarkable transformation, particularly over the eight-year span (table 2 and 3). The mean enrolment for men rose from 1,187.4 to 2,528.1, while women's enrolment surged from 893.0 to 2,376.6. This substantial growth is reflected in the total enrolment figures, which increased from 2,080.4 to 4,908.7. The staggering compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 123.45% for total enrolment, fuelled primarily by women's enrolment growth of 153.63%, underscores a significant shift towards greater accessibility and acceptance of higher education for women in government institutions.

In contrast, private aided colleges saw a more modest increase in enrolment during the same period. Mean enrolment for men grew from 3,022.14 to 3,887.33, while women's enrolment increased from 2,897.63 to 3,743.92, leading to a total rise from 5,919.78 to 7,616.63. The CAGR for total enrolment in private colleges hovered around 16%, indicating a stable growth trajectory but lacking the dramatic expansion seen in government colleges.

## VARIABILITY IN ENROLMENT

The standard deviation for total enrolment in government colleges rose from 1,674.3 to 3,716.0, indicating increased variability in enrolment figures. This suggests that while overall numbers have increased, there may be disparities among institutions within the government sector. In contrast, private aided colleges exhibited a higher standard deviation, which climbed from 6,370.96 to 7,718.60. This heightened variability indicates that while the average enrolment is higher, individual institutions may experience significant fluctuations in their student numbers, suggesting inconsistencies in demand.

## RELATIVE CONSISTENCY AND GENDER DYNAMICS

The coefficient of variation (C.V.) offers further insight into the stability of enrolment figures. In government colleges, the C.V. for total enrolment decreased from 80.5% to 75.7%, indicating a slight improvement in consistency. Conversely, private aided colleges experienced an increase in C.V. from 107.62% to 101.33%, highlighting growing variability in enrolment

trends. This shift raises questions about the sustainability of enrolment patterns in private institutions, potentially reflecting market saturation or economic factors impacting student choices.

## GENDER DISPARITY AND IMPLICATIONS

A significant aspect of this analysis is the changing gender dynamics within enrolment figures. Government colleges have seen a remarkable increase in women's enrolment, nearing parity with men's numbers by 2008-09. This trend suggests effective policies aimed at promoting female education and reflects broader societal changes in attitudes towards women's higher education. In contrast, the growth rate for women in private aided colleges, while steady, does not match the acceleration seen in government institutions. This disparity may indicate structural barriers or differing motivations for enrolment in private colleges, warranting further investigation.

Table 4: Course and Gender wise distribution of enrolment across GCs and PACs (2008-09)

Colleges affiliated to the university	BA			B.SC			B.Com			Other Courses		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Government Colleges (figures in %)												
Bangalore	56.00 <sup>#</sup>	50.7	53.4	7.4	12.9	10.0	23.3	23.4	23.3	13.8	13.2	13.5
Mysore	62.3	61.3	61.7	8.3	7.1	7.6	12.5	13.4	13.0	10.8	15.3	13.4
Shimoga	60.8	64.9	62.7	8.6	11.2	9.8	12.6	11.1	11.9	18.1	12.8	15.6
Mangalore	31.8	49.0	42.4	12.5	7.8	9.6	30.4	22.7	25.6	25.3	20.5	22.3
Dharwad	73.1	75.6	74.2	1.9	3.4	2.5	13.0	10.0	11.6	12.1	11.0	11.6
Gulbarga	62.3	71.7	65.3	7.5	8.0	7.7	13.5	10.0	12.4	16.6	10.2	14.5
TOTAL	63.5	28.8	30.3	7.8	4.6	4.2	18.5	8.1	8.7	15.8	14.4	26.2
Private Aided Colleges												
Bangalore	27.7	26.6	27.1	15.8	21.2	18.8	44.5	37.9	40.9	10.8	12.6	11.8
Mysore	35.4	40.4	37.9	14.7	21.3	35.1	29.2	24.1	52.0	19.4	13.8	32.4
Shimoga	48.6	54.0	51.4	15.2	17.8	11.1	24.2	43.9	6.4	6.1	18.0	16.6
Mangalore	19.4	32.7	26.6	12.0	19.2	15.9	40.8	25.4	32.5	27.8	22.7	25.0
Dharwad	49.8	45.7	48.1	13.9	21.6	17.1	33.9	30.5	32.5	2.4	2.2	2.3
Gulbarga	49.0	50.1	49.5	20.2	29.7	24.4	26.6	17.4	22.5	4.2	2.8	3.6
TOTAL	40.7	19.0	20.1	15.7	10.6	9.4	36.2	32.1	2.8	10.1	10.3	26.3

**Note:** All Figures are in percentages to the respective university totals. <sup>#</sup> For instance, 56 % of males of the total Males in GCs of Bengaluru university enroll for B.A

**Source:** Author's Calculations

The enrollment trends in government and private aided colleges, as highlighted in Table 4, reveal significant shifts in higher education dynamics, particularly concerning gender representation across various fields of study.

In government colleges, the data from 2008-09 showcases a robust increase in female enrolment, suggesting a positive movement toward gender parity. For example, in Bangalore, male enrollment in BA programs was 56.0%, while female enrollment reached 50.7%. This trend of approaching parity continued across institutions; Mysore recorded 62.3% male and 61.3% female enrollment, and Shimoga demonstrated 60.8% male and 64.9% female participation. Notably, Dharwad exhibited the highest figures, with male enrollment at 73.1% and female enrollment at 75.6%. Overall, government colleges averaged 63.5% male and 28.8% female enrollment in BA programs, indicating a substantial presence of women in higher education.

However, enrollment in other fields revealed mixed results. In B.Sc. programs, for instance, Bangalore showed 7.4% male and 12.9% female enrollment, while Dharwad had a mere 1.9% male and 3.4% female enrollment. This disparity reflects the challenges women face in accessing certain science-related fields, despite overall improvements in BA enrollment. B.Com statistics were similarly uneven, with averages of 18.5% male and 8.1% female enrollment, highlighting persistent gender gaps.

In stark contrast, private aided colleges displayed significantly lower female participation across all fields. For example, in Bangalore, BA enrollment was 27.7% for males and 26.6% for females. Mysore showed slight improvement with 35.4% male and 40.4% female enrollment, but the overall average in private colleges remained at 40.7% for males and just 19.0% for females. Shimoga offered a more balanced perspective, with male enrollment at 48.6% and female enrollment at 54.0% for BA programs. However, this is an exception rather than the rule.

The B.Sc. figures in private colleges were particularly concerning, with Bangalore at 15.8% male and 21.2% female enrollment. In contrast, B.Com figures were even lower, with averages showing 36.2% male and 32.1% female enrollment, further emphasizing the overall lower engagement of women in these institutions compared to government colleges.

The findings reveal not only a significant gender gap in private aided colleges but also suggest that structural barriers may hinder female enrollment. The lower participation rates in critical fields like B.Sc. and B.Com point to systemic issues that need to be addressed to foster a more inclusive educational environment.

In conclusion, the enrollment data from Table 4 underscores the importance of continued efforts to promote gender equity in higher education. While government colleges have made commendable strides in increasing female enrollment, especially in BA programs, private aided

colleges exhibit significant disparities that necessitate targeted interventions. By addressing the barriers faced by female students, particularly in private institutions, we can work toward ensuring equal access and opportunities for all students in higher education.

Table 5: Distribution of Enrolment in Private Aided Colleges in Karnataka (2000-01 and 2008-09)

		2000-01				2008-09				CAGR (2001-09)
		Men	Women	Total	% of Women to Total	Men	Women	Total	% of Women to Total	
<b>Bangalore University Regional Office Bangalore</b>										
1	Bangalore	2900	3091	5991	51.59	5756.00	8049.00	13805.00	58.30	11.00
2	Bangalore Rural	1111	846	1957	43.23	3094.00	2965.00	6059.00	48.94	15.17
3	Tumkur	3898	1656	5554	29.82	7391.00	5358.00	12749.00	42.03	10.95
4	Kolar	2168	1115	3283	33.96	7348.00	5417.00	12765.00	42.44	18.50
	Total	10077	6708	16785	39.96	23589.00	21789.00	45378.00	48.02	13.24
<b>Mysore University Regional Office Mysore</b>										
5	Mysore	1473	3513	4986	70.46	3896.00	6663.00	10559.00	63.10	9.83
6	Chamaraj nagara	745	59	804	7.34	1429.00	479.00	1908.00	25.10	11.41
7	Mandya	1201	1259	2460	51.18	1692.00	3368.00	5060.00	66.56	9.43
8	Hassan	2271	1146	3417	33.54	3676.00	4473.00	8149.00	54.89	11.48
	Total	5690	5977	11667	51.23	10693.00	14983.00	25676.00	58.35	10.36
<b>Kuvempu University Regional Office, Shimoga</b>										
9	Shimoga	1535	2076	3611	57.49	2341.00	3464.00	5805.00	59.67	6.11
10	Chitradurga	2325	900	3225	27.91	3402.00	1736.00	5138.00	33.79	5.99
11	Davangeri	1279	703	1982	35.47	2596.00	1917.00	4513.00	42.48	10.83
12	Chikmagalur	1897	1136	3033	37.45	3019.00	2498.00	5607.00	44.55	7.98
	Total	7036	4815	11851	40.63	11448.00	9615.00	21063.00	45.65	7.45
<b>Mangalore University Regional Office, Mangalore</b>										
13	Dakshina	539	666	1205	55.27	1210.00	2384.00	3594.00	66.33	14.64
14	Udupi	581	941	1522	61.83	1726.00	2996.00	4722.00	63.45	15.20
15	Kodagu	132	118	250	47.20	656.00	442.00	1098.00	40.26	20.32
	Total	1252	1725	2977	57.94	3592.00	5822.00	9414.00	61.84	15.48
<b>Karnataka University Regional Office, Dharwad</b>										
16	Dharwad	86	89	175	50.86	668.00	460.00	1148.00	40.07	26.51
17	Gadag	444	250	694	36.02	1241.00	833.00	2074.00	40.16	14.67
18	Haveri	868	306	1174	26.06	1745.00	951.00	2696.00	35.27	10.95
19	Uttar Kannada	704	739	1443	51.21	1277.00	1915.00	3192.00	59.99	10.43
20	Belgaum	252	234	486	48.15	1968.00	1374.00	3342.00	41.11	27.25
21	Bijapur	NA	NA	NA	NA	1152.00	1140.00	2292.00	49.74	
22	Bagalkot	41	172	213	80.75	712.00	386.00	1098.00	35.15	22.75
	Total	2395	1790	4185	42.77	8783.00	7059.00	15842.00	44.56	18.10
<b>Gulbarga University Regional Office, Gulbarga</b>										
23	Gulbarga	1842	833	2675	31.14	3830.00	1700.00	5530.00	30.74	9.50
24	Raichur	610	233	843	27.64	1638.00	625.00	2263.00	27.62	13.14
25	Koppal	414	206	620	33.23	1444.00	554.00	1998.00	27.73	15.75
26	Bellary	1366	886	2252	39.34	2789.00	1706.00	4495.00	37.95	9.02
27	Bidar	191	45	236	19.07	563.00	314.00	877.00	35.80	17.83
	Total	4423	2203	6626	33.25	10264.00	4899.00	15163.00	32.31	10.90
<b>Karnataka</b>		<b>30873</b>	<b>23218</b>	<b>54091</b>	<b>42.92</b>	<b>68369.00</b>	<b>64167.00</b>	<b>132536.00</b>	<b>48.41</b>	<b>11.85</b>

Source: Government of Karnataka, Department of Collegiate Education, Bangalore.

The enrollment data from Table 5 illustrates significant shifts in the landscape of private aided colleges in Karnataka between the years 2000-01 and 2008-09, highlighting trends in gender representation and overall enrollment growth. Across the state, total enrollment in private aided colleges rose dramatically from 54,091 in 2000-01 to 132,536 by 2008-09, indicating a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 11.85%. This growth reflects an increasing demand for higher education in Karnataka, with both male and female enrollment contributing to this upward trend. The total number of men enrolled grew from 30,873 to 68,369, while women's enrollment increased from 23,218 to 64,167 during the same period.

### **Gender Dynamics**

The data shows an overall increase in the percentage of women enrolling in private aided colleges, rising from 42.92% in 2000-01 to 48.41% by 2008-09. This indicates a positive trend toward gender parity in higher education, although the degree of parity varies significantly across different regions and colleges. For instance, in Bangalore Urban, the percentage of women in total enrollment increased from 51.59% to 58.30%. Similarly, Mysore saw women's enrollment maintain a strong representation, with figures of 70.46% in 2000-01 and 63.10% in 2008-09, suggesting a slight decline but still a robust presence. In contrast, Chamaraj nagara highlighted a concerning drop in women's enrollment from 7.34% to 25.10%, which may point to regional disparities or socioeconomic factors affecting women's access to education.

### **Regional Variations**

The data illustrates notable regional differences in female enrollment. In Mangalore, the percentage of women rose from 57.94% to 61.84%, indicating a consistent trend of female participation. Conversely, regions like Gulbarga demonstrated a decline in female representation, from 33.25% to 32.31%, reflecting potential barriers faced by women in those areas.

### **Course Preferences and Gender**

Examining specific courses reveals trends in subject preferences among genders. Although the data does not break down enrollment by specific fields within the table, the overall trends suggest that while women are increasingly enrolling in private aided colleges, their representation in traditionally male-dominated fields may still lag behind. For instance, science and technology programs often show lower female enrollment, a trend consistent with broader national patterns.

Table 6: Distribution of Enrolment in Private Aided Colleges in Karnataka (2001-09)

	2000-01					2008-09				
	Men	Women	Total	% of Women to Total	Men	Women	Total	% of Women to Total	CAGR (2001-09)	
<b>Bangalore University Regional Office Bangalore</b>										
1	Bangalore	14472	19990	34462	58.01	16714	23332	40046	58.262998	9.07
2	Bangalore	1961	1061	3022	35.11	2929	2338	5267	44.389596	22.17
3	Tumkur	3149	2332	5481	42.55	3069	3237	6306	51.332065	13.24
4	Kolar	1115	634	1749	36.25	1950	1563	3513	44.491887	23.87
	Total	20697	24017	44714	53.71	24662	30470	55132	55.267358	10.95
<b>Mysore University Regional Office Mysore</b>										
5	Mysore	3568	3057	6625	46.14	6366	5331	11697	45.575789	18.26
6	Chamarajnagara	454	686	1140	60.18	258	1085	948	114.45148	4.13
7	Mandya	1982	1167	3149	37.06	2676	2052	4728	43.401015	19.11
8	Hassan	1668	2605	4273	60.96	2448	3163	5611	56.371413	10.07
	Total	7672	7515	15187	49.48	11748	11631	22984	50.604769	15.00
<b>Kuvempu University Regional Office, Shimoga</b>										
9	Shimoga	2663	2799	5462	51.24	3212	3049	6261	48.698291	10.59
10	Chitradurga	2139	1521	3660	41.56	1806	1692	3498	48.370497	10.97
11	Davangeri	2760	2519	5279	47.72	3112	3467	6579	52.697978	12.75
12	Chikmagalur	521	1141	1662	68.65	808	1391	2199	63.256025	8.55
	Total	8083	7980	16063	49.68	8938	9599	18537	51.782921	11.11
<b>Mangalore University Regional Office, Mangalore</b>										
13	Dakshina	4024	6800	10824	62.82	8077	9396	17473	53.774395	12.52
14	Udupi	2641	3873	6514	59.46	3903	4946	8849	55.893321	10.88
15	Kodagu	372	581	953	60.97	600	630	1230	51.219512	9.83
	Total	7037	11254	18291	61.53	12580	14972	27552	54.340883	11.84
<b>Karnataka University Regional Office, Dharwad</b>										
16	Dharwad	4559	2807	7366	38.11	5551	5011	10562	47.443666	18.02
17	Gadag	2150	1177	3327	35.38	2038	1574	3612	43.576966	15.05
18	Haveri	1918	1787	3705	48.23	2079	1338	3417	39.157155	8.44
19	Uttar Kannada	3595	3893	7488	51.99	2754	2718	5472	49.671053	4.35
20	Belgaum	7676	5121	12797	40.02	9926	7101	17027	41.704352	16.20
21	Bijapur	4036	2925	6961	42.02	6088	3692	9780	37.750511	16.29
22	Bagalkot	3587	1627	5214	31.20	5608	2510	8118	30.918946	22.25
	Total	27521	19337	46858	41.27	34044	23944	57988	41.291302	14.71
<b>Gulbarga University Regional Office, Gulbarga</b>										
23	Gulbarga	3055	2473	5528	44.74	4159	3580	7739	46.259207	15.33
24	Raichur	627	855	1482	57.69	1081	1000	2081	48.05382	11.76
25	Koppal	982	382	1364	28.01	1264	567	1831	30.966685	21.64
26	Bellary	3162	2799	5961	46.96	3149	3320	6469	51.321688	11.04
27	Bidar	2762	1624	4386	37.03	3333	2003	5336	37.537481	16.03
	Total	10588	8133	18721	43.44	12986	10470	23456	44.636767	14.16
	Karnataka	81598	78236	159834	48.95	104958	101086	206044	49.060395	12.87

Source: Government of Karnataka, Department of Collegiate Education, Bangalore.

The enrollment data from Table 6 offers a detailed look at the trends in private aided colleges in Karnataka between 2000-01 and 2008-09, focusing on the shifts in male and female student enrollments across different regions. The total enrollment in private aided colleges across Karnataka surged from 159,834 in 2000-01 to 206,044 in 2008-09, reflecting a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 12.87%. This increase is driven by significant growth in both male and female enrollments, with men rising from 81,598 to 104,958 and women from 78,236 to 101,086.

Notably, the percentage of women to total enrollment remained relatively stable, increasing from 48.95% to 49.06%.

### **Gender Representation**

While the overall percentage of female students in private aided colleges shows slight stability, the data reveals distinct regional variations. In Bangalore Urban, women's enrollment rose from 58.01% to 58.26%, maintaining a strong representation. In contrast, Bangalore Rural showed a significant improvement, with women's enrollment increasing from 35.11% to 44.39%—a notable shift that indicates progress toward gender equity in less urbanized areas.

Mysore region reflects a more complex picture: although total enrollment rose, the percentage of women slightly decreased from 49.48% to 50.60%. Notably, the Chamarajnaragara district saw a dramatic shift with women's representation skyrocketing from 60.18% to 114.45%, indicating a potential anomaly or a substantial increase in female enrollments in that area.

### **Regional Variations**

The enrollment data across the five university regional offices shows varying trends:

- Kuvempu University (Shimoga): Enrollment for women increased slightly from 49.68% to 51.78%, with overall growth in both genders.
- Mangalore University: The percentage of women remained high, with 61.53% in 2008-09, indicating a strong female presence in the region.
- Karnataka University (Dharwad): This region presents a concerning trend, where the percentage of women decreased from 41.27% to 41.29%, highlighting potential barriers to female enrollment.
- Gulbarga University: Here, women's representation also shows variability; the percentage increased from 43.44% to 44.64%, suggesting slight improvements but still indicating a significant gap in gender parity.

### **Course Preferences and Challenges**

Although specific course enrollment figures are not provided in this table, the data suggests that while female enrollment is increasing overall, certain fields may still be underrepresented by women. Regions with traditionally strong female representation, such as Mangalore and Mysore, likely see higher enrollments in fields typically chosen by women, such as arts and social sciences.

## **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the analysis of General Higher Education (GHE) in Karnataka reveals the need for critical policy reforms to better align educational offerings with contemporary economic demands and societal needs. While government colleges demonstrate a commendable increase in

enrollment, especially among female students, aided colleges display slower growth and varying gender dynamics, highlighting areas for targeted interventions. Addressing funding challenges, enhancing equitable access across demographic groups, and ensuring performance-based grant allocation for private colleges are essential steps for sustainable educational progress. By refining these aspects, Karnataka can foster a more inclusive, job-relevant, and industry-responsive GHE system that aligns with broader socio-economic objectives.

## REFERENCES

- Department of Collegiate Education, Government of Karnataka. (Various Years). *Annual reports on higher education in Karnataka*. Department of Collegiate Education, Government of Karnataka.
- Bhushan S (2008), Financing Requirements in higher education during XI plan period. In UGC ed: Higher Education in India: Issues Related to Expansion, Inclusiveness, Quality and finance, UGC, New Delhi.
- George Psacharopoulos (2009), Returns To Investment In Higher Education A European Survey [http://ec.europa.eu/education/higher-education/doc/funding/vol3\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/education/higher-education/doc/funding/vol3_en.pdf)
- Narayana, M. R. (2001), Impact of grants-in-aid on collegiate education: Evidence and implications of a regional study in India. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 9(21).
- Narayana, M. R. (2000). College resources and student performance: databases, recent trends and implications for private aided degree colleges in Karnataka State (India). *Review of Development and Change*, 5(2), 209-247.
- Tilak (1993) Financing Higher Education in India: Principles, Practice, and Policy Issues *Higher Education*, Vol. 26, No. 1, Perspectives on Higher Education in India (Jul., 1993), pp. 43-67.
- Tilak, J B G (2003), Financing Education in India, NIEPA Pub. Delhi
- UGC (2005-06) Annual Report.
- World Bank and UNESCO (2000): Task Force on Higher Education: Peril and Promise.  
Accessed on 3/11/2010 <http://www.tfhe.net/report/downloads/report/overview.pdf>  
<http://www.education.nic.in/plan/XIPlandocument.pdf>